Student Collaboration Models Using Random Graphs

Aaron M. Bain Steven F. Wolf



Context

Student collaboration networks

- Introductory Physics (Calc based)
- Self-reported networks
- During group exams (with open collaboration) ⁽¹⁾

Goal: Model collaboration to describe student behavior.

Methods

(Social) Network Analysis (SNA) tools to describe collaboration networks: ⁽²⁾
Nodes Students
Edges (Directional) collaborations between students
SNA parameters for describing these networks:
Plot Degree Number of collaborators
Betweenness number of connections
Plot Transitivity Number of mutual collaborators in a triad
Plot Reciprocity Probability for verticies to be linked in a directed network

StuNet model parameters

pGroup probability of nodes connecting within a group
pOther probability of nodes connecting outside a group
clump average group size

Comparison metric

Dissimilarity: The overall differences between the model and the class network

 $D = \langle KS_{degree} \rangle + \langle KS_{betweenness} \rangle$ $+ |z_{transitivity}| + |z_{reciprocity}|$ KS is the KS-statistic (kolmogorov smirnov)



We developed and optimized a model describing the social network of a collaborative classroom.

Classroom Network







Take a picture to learn more

Simulated Network





Optimization

- We used optimr to optimize our model
- A logit transformation was applied to conduct an unconstrained optimization, specifically on pGroup and pOther as their probability is between 0 and 1
- $\operatorname{logit}(P) = \log\left(\frac{P}{1-P}\right)$

More Networks

Here are a couple more simulations using the same data!!



Future Directions

- Optimize more exams and compare student trends between exams
- Test the assumption that students connect depending on their position in a group or outside a group.
- Are we Fermions or are we Bosons
- Add more detailed information about the students, such as gender and major

References

⁽¹⁾ Wolf, Steven & Blakeney, Cody & Close, Hunter. (2016). Group
 Formation on Physics Exams. 400-403. 10.1119/perc.2016.pr.095.
 ⁽²⁾ Wolf, Steven & M. Sault, Timothy & Close, Hunter. (2018). Information flow in group exams. 444-447. 10.1119/perc.2017.pr.106.



